



# Prop 23 vs Prop 29

Prop 29 is nearly identical to Prop 23, which 63% of voters overwhelmingly rejected in 2020. Prop 29 will again jeopardize access to care, worsen our health care provider shortage and increase health care costs for all Californians.

Prop 23	Prop 29
<p>Requires physician on site at all times</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ No ESRD experience</li> <li>■ Exception for NP, PA granted by Department if shortage exists</li> </ul>	<p>Requires <b>physician, NP, PA</b> on site at all times</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ <b>6 months experience in ESRD</b></li> <li>■ <b>Exception for telehealth</b> – must be granted by Department</li> </ul>
Prohibits clinics from refusing treatment based on payment source	Prohibits clinics from refusing treatment based on payment source
Prohibits clinics from closing or reducing services without prior state approval	Prohibits clinics from closing or reducing services without prior state approval
Quarterly reporting to Department of HAI (infection) data	Quarterly reporting to Department of HAI (infection) data
	<b>Requires disclosure to patients and public list of any physicians who have 5% or more ownership interest in clinic</b>

Ad paid for by No on 29: Stop Yet Another Dangerous Dialysis Proposition,  
 sponsored by patients, doctors, nurses and dialysis providers  
 Committee major funding from  
 DaVita  
 Fresenius Medical Care  
 U.S. Renal Care  
 Funding details at [www.fppc.ca.gov](http://www.fppc.ca.gov)